



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,660 | 11/26/2003 | Rick James Morse | D0932-00428 [VS-8855] | 8809 |
| 8933 | 7590 | 11/24/2008 | | |
| DUANE MORRIS LLP - Philadelphia | | | | |
| IP DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET | | | | |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| BUCKLE JR, JAMES J | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3633 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 11/24/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/723,660 | | Applicant(s) MORSE, RICK JAMES | |
| | Examiner JAMES J. BUCKLE JR | | Art Unit 3633 | |
| | | | | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES J. BUCKLE JR.

(3) JOESPH POWERS.

(2) ROBERT CANFIELD.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,465,547.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Attorney pointed out that the bottom and top of Fig. 3b used in the rejection, was reversed. The examiners acknowledged and agreed. The examiners maintained that the reference read on the claims and identified and discussed the first and second planar portions as illustrated in annotated Fig. 3b attached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| /James J Buckle Jr/ | /Robert J Canfield/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635 |
|---------------------|---|